



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/724,132

12/01/2003

Mitsuhiro Inazumi

117660

1227

25944 7590 05/20/2008

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

P.O. BOX 320850

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

PRENDERGAST, ROBERTA D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2628

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/20/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--|
| <i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i> | Application No. 10/724,132 | Applicant(s) INAZUMI, MITSUHIRO | |
| | Examiner ROBERTA PRENDERGAST | Art Unit 2628 | |

All Participants:

(1) ROBERTA PRENDERGAST.

(2) Christopher Wheeler.

Date of Interview: 14 May 2008

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 12:58 pm

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative, Christopher Wheeler, in order to inquire as to the status of the case. Mr. Wheeler indicated that, subsequent to the filing of the Notice of Appeal and Request for an Pre-Brief Conference, both filed on 9/18/2007, and to the receipt of the Pre-Brief Conference Decision, mailed 10/17/2007, that nothing further had been filed with the Office in regards to this application and that their office is aware that the time for filing a reply has passed.

Part III.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

